

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/476,689		12/30/1999	KENT C.B. STALKER	ACS-52008(18	ACS-52008(18 8160		
24201	7590	03/12/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
FULWIDE	R PATTO	ON LEE & UTEC	MAIORII	MAIORINO, ROZ			
HOWARD :	HUGHES	CENTER					
6060 CENT	ER DRIV	E		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
TENTH FLO	OOR			3763			
LOS ANGE	LES. CA	90045					

DATE MAILED: 03/12/2004

21

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	71-			
•	Advisory Action	09/476,689	STALKER, KENT C).B.			
	navious, nadan	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Roz Maiorino	3763				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
Theres	REPLY FILED 18 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE fore, further action by the applicant is required to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (10 on for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the same of th	cation. A proper repict places the application.	ply to a cation in			
	PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
b) [event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$	See MPEP			
have be 37 CFR (b) abov	ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortenede, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three movement term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d)	they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.			
	NOTE: applicant has amended claim 21 hence r	equiring new search and considera	ation.				
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject						
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5.⊠	The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \boxtimes request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does No	OT place the			
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:					
	Claim(s) allowed:	•					
	Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected:						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•					
8.	8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:							
			RM				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant alleges Yadav et al does not put teach a direct member having properties of blocking the passage of fluid and emboli, however Yadav's direct member just like the applicant direct member does teach a blockaged of fluid and emboli as demonstrated in figure 2.

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700